

Algebraic structures as typed objects

Heinz Kredel, University of Mannheim Raphael Jolly, Databeans

CASC 2011, Kassel



Overview

Introduction

Algebraic structures as typed objects

- Ring elements and ring factories, algorithms and factories
- Algebraic and transcendental extensions
- Real algebraic numbers and complex algebraic numbers
- Algebraic structures in scripting interpreters

Problems

- Generic types and subclasses
- Dependent types

Conclusions



Introduction

- Software architecture for computer algebra systems :
 - run-time infrastructure, memory management parallel hardware support
 - statically typed object oriented algorithm libraries
 - dynamic interactive scripting interpreters
- reuse existing projects concentrate on algebra, design and implementation
- be reused : Meditor, Symja, MathPiper, GeoGebra



Need for types

- Scratchpad, Axiom, Aldor
- Kenzo : algebraic topology, object oriented with run-time type safety
- MuPad : object oriented layer with 'categories'
- DoCon : field extension towers, type safe, Haskell
- Pros and cons of our approach

- see (related) work in Jolly & Kredel, CASC 2010

Field (and ring) extensions

- K computable field (or ring), e.g. prime fields
 - rational numbers $\, \mathbb{Q} \,$
 - modular integers $\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_p$
- algebraic extensions $K(\alpha) = K[x]_{/(f)}$, with $f(\alpha) = 0$
- transcendental extensions K(x)
- real algebraic extensions $\mathbf{Q}(+\sqrt{2})$
- complex algebraic extensions $\mathbb{Q}(+i)$

Design and implementation

- Goal : design and implement extensions so that they can be coefficient rings of polynomial rings and relevant properties are preserved
- provide algorithms so that polynomials over real algebraic extensions have real root isolation
- provide algorithms so that polynomials over complex algebraic extensions have complex root isolation
 - fundamental theorem of algebra
 - constructive version : Weierstraß-Durand-Kerner fixpoint method



Overview

Introduction

Algebraic structures as typed objects

- Ring elements and ring factories, algorithms and factories
- Algebraic and transcendental extensions
- Real algebraic numbers and complex algebraic numbers
- Algebraic structures in scripting interpreters

Problems

- Generic types and subclasses
- Dependent types
- Conclusions



Basic types



factory() method provides a back-link



Example

 $w^2-2 \in \mathbb{Q}[w]:$

BigRational rf = new BigRational(1); // element = factory
GenPolynomialRing<BigRational> pf

= new GenPolynomialRing<BigRational>(rf,new String[]{"w"});

GenPolynomial<BigRational> a = pf.parse("w^2 - 2");



Algorithms and factories

example univariate Hensel lifting :

$$((\mathbb{Z}[x], a), (\mathbb{Z}_p[x], (a_1, \dots, a_r)), (\mathbb{N}, k)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[x], (b_1, \dots, b_r))$$

meaning :

$$(a \in \mathbb{Z}[x], (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]^r, k \in \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[x]^r$$

using type annotations :

$$(a:\mathbb{Z}[x],(a_1,\ldots,a_r):\mathbb{Z}_p[x]^r,k:\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (b_1,\ldots,b_r):\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}[x]^r$$

the last ring is constructed within the algorithm

Algebraic and transcendental ring and field extensions

• $L = K(\alpha) = K[x]_{/(f)}$, with $f(\alpha) = 0$, is field iff f is irreducible

- AlgebraicNumber, AlgebraicNumberRing

 better names : AlgebraicElement, AlgebraicExtensionRing

- $L = K(x) = \{ \frac{p}{q} : p,q \in K[x], q > 0, gcd(p,q) = 1 \}$ - Quotient, QuotientRing
- the construction works for all computable fields as base fields
 - so towers of field / ring extensions can be constructed

- for example $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})(x)(\sqrt{x})$



Algebraic numbers





Example construction (1)

 $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})(x)(\sqrt{x})$

$$\mathbf{Q} \to_{1} \mathbf{Q}[w] \to_{2} \mathbf{Q}[w]_{/(w^{2}-2)} \to_{3} (\mathbf{Q}[w]_{/(w^{2}-2)})(x)$$
$$\to_{4} (\mathbf{Q}[w]_{/(w^{2}-2)})(x)[wx] \to_{5} (\mathbf{Q}[w]_{/(w^{2}-2)})(x)[wx]_{/(wx^{2}-x)}$$

AlgebraicNumber<Quotient<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>> elem; elem = fac.parse("wx + x^5");



Example construction (2)

```
GenPolynomial<BigRational> a = pf.parse("w^2 - 2");
AlgebraicNumberRing<BigRational> af
```

= new AlgebraicNumberRing<BigRational>(a);

```
String[] vx = new String[]{ "x" };
GenPolynomialRing<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>> tf
        = new GenPolynomialRing<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>(af,vx);
QuotientRing<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>> qf
        = new QuotientBing<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>> (tf);
```

= new QuotientRing<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>(tf);

GenPolynomialRing<Quotient<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>>(qf,vw);

GenPolynomial<Quotient<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>> b

= qaf.parse("wx^2 - x");

AlgebraicNumberRing<Quotient<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>> fac

= new AlgebraicNumberRing<Quotient<AlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>>(b);



Extension field builder

- above construction is tedious but exact
- much 'boiler plate' code
- Scala can spare some type annotations via type resolution
- more simplification using 'builder pattern'

- for example

RingFactory fac = ExtensionFieldBuilder .baseField(new BigRational(1)) .algebraicExtension("w", "w^2 - 2") .transcendentExtension("x") .algebraicExtension("wx", "wx^2 - x") .build();

Applications and optimizations

- such field towers can be used as coefficients for polynomial rings
- then computations like Gröbner bases can be performed in these polynomial rings
- can use primitive elements for multiple extensions
- build() method to optimize the extension towers
 - structural optimizations
 - transcendental high, algebraic lower in tower
 - or residue class ring modulo a Gröbner base
 - simplification
 - simple extension via primitive element (CAD example)



Real algebraic numbers

 $K(\alpha) = K[x]_{/(f)}, with f(\alpha)=0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, char(K)=0$

 $I = [l, r] \subset \mathbb{R}$ isolating interval for α :

 $\alpha \in I$ for exactly one real root α of f

• implementation using delegation to algebraic extension ring

- sub-classing not possible, see 'problems' later

- classes RealAlgebraicNumber with factory RealAlgebraicRing
 - factory contains isolating interval and root engine



Real root computation

- using Sturm sequences
 - faster algorithms are future work
- classes RealRootAbstract and RealRootsSturm
- one generic implementation for any real field tower
- can construct polynomials over such fields
 - GenPolynomial<RealAlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>
- can continue with real roots for such polynomials
 - RealRootsSturm<RealAlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>
 - method realSign() used in signum() method
 - unique feature to our knowledge



Example

$$L = \mathbb{Q}(+\sqrt[3]{3})(+\sqrt{+\sqrt[3]{3}})(+\sqrt[5]{2}), I = [1,2]$$

fac = ExtensionFieldBuilder .baseField(new BigRational()) .realAlgebraicExtension("q", "q^3 - 3","[1,2]") .realAlgebraicExtension("w", "w^2 - q","[1,2]") .realAlgebraicExtension("s", "s^5 - 2","[1,2]") .build();

$$y^2 - \sqrt{+\sqrt[3]{3}} \cdot \sqrt[5]{2} \in L[y]$$

Decimal approximation of the two real roots with 50 decimal digits

-1.1745272686769866126436905900619307101229226521299 1.1745272686769866126436905900619307101229226521299

1.2 sec, approximation to 50 digits 5.2 sec, AMD at 3 GHz, IcedTea6 JVM

Complex algebraic numbers (1)

 $K(\gamma) = K[x]_{/(f)}, with f(\gamma)=0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, char(K)=0$

 $I = [l_r, r_r] \times [l_i, r_i] \subset \mathbb{C}$ isolating rectangle for γ :

 $\gamma \in I$ for exactly one complex root γ of f

- classes ComplexAlgebraicNumber with factory ComplexAlgebraicRing in edu.jas.root
 - factory contains isolating rectangle
 - roots work only for a single extension, no towers
 - since real or imaginary parts cannot be extracted
 - need bi-variate representation

Complex root computation (1)

- using Sturm sequences, and a method derived from Wilf's numeric Routh-Hurwitz method
 - faster algorithms are future work
- classes ComplexRootsAbstract ComplexRootsSturm
- can construct polynomials over such fields
 - GenPolynomial<ComplexAlgebraicNumber<BigRational>>
- cannot continue with complex roots for such polynomials
 - ComplexRootsSturm<ComplexAlgebraicNumber<.>>

Complex root computation (2)

- alternative : represent as tuples of real roots of the ideal generated by the equations for the real and imaginary part
- repr. as extension of two real algebraic numbers
- one implementation for any complex field tower

 $\begin{aligned} z \to a + bi & \text{in } f(z) = f(a,b) = f_r(a,b) + f_i(a,b)i \\ y \in L = L'(i), & \text{with } f(y) = 0 \\ y = \alpha + \beta i, & \text{with } f_r(\alpha,\beta) = f_i(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \\ L' = K(\alpha,\beta), & \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{R}, & Ideal(f_r,f_i) = \{g(x),h(x,y)\} \\ L' = K(\alpha)(\beta), & \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, & \beta_{poly} \in K(\alpha)[y] \end{aligned}$

Complex algebraic numbers (2)

• **new classes** RealAlgebraicNumber **and** RealAlgebraicRing in edu.jas.application

- USE as Complex<RealAlgebraicNumber<.>>

- bi-variate ideal with real root tuples as input, from ideal real roots computation
 - Ideal.zeroDimRootDecomposition()
 - PolyUtilApp. realAlgebraicRoots()
- can construct polynomials over such fields
 - GenPolynomial<Complex<RealAlgebraicNumber<.>>>
- one level instantiation is also possible
 - ComplexRootsSturm<RealAlgebraicNumber<.>>



Example

 $L = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, i), I = [-1, -1/2] \times [1, 2]$ $\gamma = \alpha + \beta i$ with $\alpha^3 + 1/4 = 0$ and $\beta^2 - 3\alpha^2 = 0$ $\gamma \approx -0.6299605 + 1.0911236 i$ $f(t) = f(t, \gamma) = t^3 - \gamma^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)[t], f(\tau) = 0,$ $f(t, \alpha, \beta, i) = t^3 + 2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\beta i$ $\tau_1 \approx 0.8936130 - 0.7498304 i$, $\tau_2 \approx -1.0961787 - 0.3989764 \ i$, $\tau_3 \approx 0.2025656 + 1.1488068 i$

 $\tau_{3} = \zeta + \eta i = \zeta + (256/27 \,\alpha \beta \zeta^{7} - 112/27 \,\beta \zeta^{4} + 356/27 \,\alpha^{2} \beta \zeta) i$

$$-10368 \,\alpha \,\beta \,\zeta^{9} + 3888 \,\beta \,\zeta^{6} - 15552 \,\alpha^{2} \,\beta \,\zeta^{3} - 81 \,\alpha \,\beta = 0$$

$$27 \,\beta \,\eta + 192 \,\zeta^{7} + 336 \,\alpha^{2} \,\zeta^{4} + 267 \,\alpha \,\zeta = 0$$

163 sec, AMD at 3 GHz, IcedTea6 JVM



Root factory

RootFactory

- + realAlgebraicNumbers(f : GenPolynomial<C>) : List<RealAlgebraicNumber<C>>
- + realAlgebraicNumbersField(f: GenPolynomial<C>): List<RealAlgebraicNumber<C>>
- + complexAlgebraicNumbers(f: GenPolynomial<C>): List<ComplexAlgebraicNumber<C>>
- + complexAlgebraicNumberComplex(f : GenPolynomial<Complex<C>>) : List<ComplexAlgebraicNumber<C>>
- link between the computation and the structures
- roots of polynomials represented as
 - list of real algebraic numbers
 - list of complex algebraic numbers
 - rings accessible via factory() method
- versions for fields (irreducible generator) or non fields (squarefree generator only)
- version for polynomial with complex coefficients



Algebraic structures in scripting interpreters

- Use general purpose scripting language as DSL for computer algebra
- Algebraic expressions are written in the host language ≠ strings ¹
- No need to parse (in Java)
- Type-safe (partly at run-time)
- for details see Jolly & Kredel 2008, 2009



Jython

EF(QQ()).extend("w2", "w2^2 - 2")
 .extend("x").extend("wx", "wx^2 - x").build().

9.5 seconds, 5.7 seconds after JIT warm-up, AMD 3 GHz, IcedTea6 JVM



Jython : target design

- Problem : each definition of ring/extension field factory must redefine all generators in the factory tower
- Need a mechanism to « lift » values to the correct level in the ring/field tower
- Not yet fully implemented



Overview

Introduction

- Algebraic structures as typed objects
- Ring elements and ring factories, algorithms and factories
- Algebraic and transcendental extensions
- Real algebraic numbers and complex algebraic numbers
- Algebraic structures in scripting interpreters

Problems

- Generic types and subclasses
- Dependent types
- Conclusions

Generic types and subclasses

• Why subclassing ?

- Allows to reuse code of algorithms

• Problem :

class AlgebraicNumber<C extends GcdRingElem<C>>
implements GcdRingElem<AlgebraicNumber<C>>

class RealAlgebraicNumber<C extends GcdRingElem<C>>
extends AlgebraicNumber<C> implements
GcdRingElem<RealAlgebraicNumber<C>>

not possible because of type-erasure

Generic types and subclasses : delegation

 Delegation : object features are not inherited but available through associated object (delegate)

class RealAlgebraicNumber<C extends GcdRingElem<C>>
implements GcdRingElem<RealAlgebraicNumber<C>> {
 public final AlgebraicNumber<C> number;
}

- Avoids the above type-erasure problem
- Can not use algorithms written specifically for AlgebraicNumbers with RealAlgebraicNumbers



Third solution

- use neither delegation nor subclassing
- inherit from a common, abstract superclass





Dependent types

- Goal : forbid operations between kinds different only with respect to some parameter
 - Integer : Mod(7)
 - Array of String : Polynomial(BigInt, ["x"])
 - Polynomial : AlgebraicNumber(w**2 2)
- Need for a dependent type
- Scala has such a concept
- Work in progress



Dependent types in Scala

val r = Mod(7)
r(4)+r(4) // 1
val s = Mod(2)
r(4)+s(1) // problem : this works

\rightarrow with "val", r and s are of the same type (class)

```
object r extends Mod(7)
r(4)+r(4) // 1
object s extends Mod(2)
r(4)+s(1) // type mismatch, as expected
```

 \rightarrow with "object", each value has its own type (singleton)

Dependent types : polynomials

 Can use implicit conversion to lift values to correct level

```
implicit object r extends Mod(7)
implicit object p extends Polynomial(r, Array("x")) ; val
Array(x) = p.generators
implicit object q extends Polynomial(p, Array("y")) ; val
Array(y) = q.generators
// and so on
```



Conclusions (1)

- extensions are designed and implemented so that they can be coefficient rings of polynomial rings and relevant properties are preserved
- obtain pluggable algebraic objects by well defined interface for ring elements
- precise and explicit construction of extensions
- one generic implementation for a real root computation algorithm for any real extension field tower
- one generic implementation for a complex root computation algorithm



Conclusions (2)

- scripting languages can be used to write (runtime) type-safe algebraic expressions
- tedious work can be reduced with Scala
- dependend types can be designed in Scala
- engineering and usage of algorithm libraries benefits from type safety
- provides a Java CAS library under GPL or LGPL
- future work
 - study Scala possibilities
 - implement some faster algorithms

Thank you for your attention

- Questions ?
- Comments ?
- http://jscl-meditor.sourceforge.net/
- http://krum.rz.uni-mannheim.de/jas/
- Acknowledgments
 - thanks to: Thomas Becker, Werner K. Seiler, Axel Kramer, Dongming Wang, Thomas Sturm, Hans-Günther Kruse, Markus Aleksy
 - thanks to the referees